this is a record for California. There is no question as to the identity for I am familiar with both our American forms. *P. nuttallii* occurs as far north along the Sacramento River as Shasta County.—R. C. McGregor, *U. S. Fish Hatchery, Battle Creek, Cal.*

On the Genus Astragalinus Cabanis. - When Cabanis established the genus Astragalinas (Mus. Hein. I, July, 1851, 159) he mentioned no type, but ranged under the generic name A. tristis, A. mexicanus, and A. columbianus, and in a footnote mentions also A. pistacinus and A. varrelli "as the nearest relatives of the type of the genus," which must, therefore have been one of the above mentioned species. In the catalogue of the Fringillidæ in the collection of the British Museum (Cat. Birds Brit. Mus. XII, 1888, 192), Dr. Sharpe gives the type as Fringilla tristis Linnæus; and that he is correct in doing so is proven by the fact that the only one of the three species named by Cabanis to be made the type of another supposed genus is Fringilla psaltria Say (conspecific with Carduelis mexicanus Swainson), which Cassin, fourteen years later. designated as type of his subgenus Pseudomitris (Proc. Ac. Nat. Sci. Phila. 1865, 93). This clearly establishes Fringilla tristis as the type of the genus Astragalinus, even were F. psaltria (with its subspecies mexicanus and columbianus) generically distinct, which they are not. Carduelis lawrencei Cassin is also an Astragalinus, and the only known species of the genus not mentioned by Cabanis. The genus is confined, so far as known, to North America, one form barely entering the northern frontier of the southern continent. This is Astragalinus psaltria columbiana, which ranges from Colombia to Costa Rica. Carduelis yarrelli Audubon, which Cabanis, in the footnote cited above, refers to Astragalinus is a Spinus, as are all other purely South American species, as well as all of those peculiar to Mexico and Central America (excepting, of course, the subspecific forms of Astragalinus psaltria).

The North American species and subspecies of Astragalinus are as follows:—

529. Astragalinus tristis (LINN.).

A. [stragalinus] tristis Cabanis, Mus. Hein. I, July, 1851, 159.

529a. Astragalinus tristis pallidus (MEARNS).

529b. Astragalinus tristis salicamans (GRINNELL).

Spinus tristis salicamans Grinnell, Auk, XIV, Oct. 1897, 397.

GEOG. DIST. - Pacific coast district of United States.

530. Astragalinus psaltria (SAY).

Astragalinus psaltria Ridgway, Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus. III Aug. 27, 1880, 177.

530a. Astragalinus psaltria arizonæ (Coues).

Astragalinus psaltria arizonæ Ridgway, Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus. III, Aug. 27, 1880, 177.

530b. Astragalinus psaltria mexicanus (SWAINS.).

Astragaliuns psaltria mexicanus RIDGWAY, Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus. III, Aug. 27, 1880, 177.

531. Astragalinus lawrencei (CASSIN).

Astragalinus lawrenceii Ridgway, Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus. III, Aug. 27, 1880, 177.

The remaining species ranged under Spinus in the A. O. U. Check-List should remain in that genus. — ROBERT RIDGWAY. Washington, D. C.

Lapland Longspur (Calcarius lapponicus) in Massachusetts in Winter. — The statement that there is but one winter record of the Lapland Longspur in New England (Brewster's Minot's Land and Game Birds of New England, page 194) makes it interesting to record a second occurrence. The record above was at Brandon, Vermont. February 21, 1879. On February 22, 1892. Mr. H. F. Kendall of Cambridge, Mass., shot a Longspur (unsexed) among a flock of Horned Larks at Duxbury, Mass. There were two Longspurs in the flock feeding on the beach, but one separated from the Larks as they flew up, and could not be found. The fact that the birds were in winter plumage among a flock of Horned Larks, would seem to show that they could hardly have been early migrants. The specimen that was shot is in Mr. Kendall's collection. — Minor Dynis. Cambridge, Mass.

Henslow's Sparrow in Ontario.—I have to record the first capture of Henslow's Sparrow | Ammodramus henslowii | in Canada, and its presence in fair numbers at different localities. At the north of the Thames River (Lake St. Clair) two were taken on May 24, and June 12, 1898; while near Sarnia, forty miles north, on July 2, two more were shot. Altogether about twelve specimens were seen alid heard, and it seems probable that they are regular breeders in the western end of Ontario, their unobtrusive habits accounting for their not having been previously noted.

The birds were all in wet meadows not far from marshy ground, and while not particularly wild, were so difficult to see on the ground, and so shy of exposing themselves above it, that we saw probably only a few of those actually present. — W. E. SAUXDERS, London, Ont.

On the Generic Name Aimophila versus Peucæa.—In a toethote on page 226 of 'The Auk for July, 1898. I expressed my inability "to discover any characters sufficient to separate Peuzwa from Aimophila, unless the former be restricted to P. astivalis. P. bottern and P. cassini." After careful reconsideration of the matter. I am only the more firmly convinced that the generic name Aimophila must be used for Ammodramus runiceps Cassin, and its subspecies, together with Peucæa carpalis Coues. Some doubt exists as to the latter, the relationship of which is without doubt closer to Aimophila sumichrasti Lawrence than to any other species; but in any event, P. carpalis is not a Peucæa, and since it must be removed from the last named genus (in event of its recognition as dis-